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## Utility Financial Solutions, LLC

- International consulting firm providing cost of service and financial plans and services to utilities across the country, Canada, Guam and the Caribbean
- Instructors for cost of service and financial planning for APPA, speakers for organizations across the country, including AWWA
- Hometown Connections preferred vendor


UFS Staff at the APPA's National Conference 2022

## A Roadmap for Financial Success

- Prepare - Financial Targets and Policies
- Budget
- 5-year Plan for Capital Improvements

- Financial Policies - Cash reserves, Line Extension, Power Cost Adjustment
- Plan - Manage Risk through Revenue Stability
- What will we do if our cash goes below the policy?
- Do we have large capital need in the future that will require a debt issuance?
- Metering and billing system replacements + requirements
- Transformers/substations
- Educate Governing Body
- Destination - Rate structures
- Define utility goals, even if they are "down the road"


## Do you know what l'm talking about?

We haven't had a rate increase in XX years ;)

- Board/Council avoids rate adjustments
- Operating at a loss
- Spending down cash
- Foregoing capital investment
- System aging
- Need major improvements
- We want to be the lowest cost provider....
- All of these point to lack of financial policies


# Prepare: <br> Financial Targets + Policies 

Move your utility forward with stability

## What are Financial Policies

- Adopted by the legislative body - written guidance on core financial areas
- Clarity to be understood by staff and decision makers
- Consistency as staff and elected officials change
- Helps your managers make consistent and reliable decisions


## Should public power have a rate of return?

- Adequate rate of return on investment to help ensure current customers are paying their fair share of the use of the infrastructure and not deferring the charge to future generations
- Fund Interest expense
- Fund inflationary increase on historical investment of system



## Determination of Target Operating Income (ROR)

- Operating Income divided by Net Book Value (Rate of Return \%)
- Do for all utilities = upward pressure on electric when not healthy

| A | Net Book Value (NBV) | $\$$ |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| B | Operating Income | 36,000,000 |
| (B/A) | ROR \% | $1,536,000$ |

Typically 4-6\% for municipals

## Why a Cash Reserve Policy?

- Customers and governing body may not understand why utilities need to maintain reserves
- List methodology and show calculations in policy for ease of update in the future
- Periodic reviews of cash levels and rate adjustments
- Identify time period to restore cash reserve if falls below minimum cash levels
- Cash restored through issuance of debt, rate adjustments, reduced expenses in next 3-5 years
- Future management, Boards and Councils will continue to maintain adequate reserve levels


## Minimum Cash

- Policy should identify minimum cash reserve level
- Cash should be allowed to be above the minimum level
- Cash reserves will fluctuate over time depending on age of assets and capital improvement program
- Most Common Policy: Number of Days of O\&M
- 90-120+ Days
- Higher bond rating 200+


## Determination of Minimum Cash: At Least 5 Factors to Consider

| Five Risk Factors to Consider | \% Risk Range to Allocate | Influenced By: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O\&M Expenses (Less Power Costs and Depreciation) | 12-25\% | \|Billing Cycle - timing of expenses VS Receipts |
| Power Costs | 10-25\% | Max Month converted to working capital days |
| Historical Investment in Assets | 1-3\% | Age of System, Likelihood of ice, wind, other |
| Annual Debt Payment | 50-100\% | Timing of Debt Payments |
| Total Five-Year Capital Plan | 20\% | $1 / 5$ of five-year plan - funds beginning of season |
| Total of These Five Items |  | \$X,XXX,XXX MINIMUM Recommendation |

## Minimum Cash Reserve Policy

| Five Risk Factors to Consider | \% Risk Range to Allocate | MINIMUM Reserves |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O\&M Expenses (Less Power Costs and Depreciation)i | 12.3\% | \$2,958,904 |
| Power Costs | 15.6\% | 5,675,082 |
| Historical Investment in Assets | 2.0\% | 3,311,700 |
| Annual Debt Payment | 80.4\% | 505,879 |
| Total Five-Year Capital Plan | 20.0\% | 1,800,000 |
| Total of These Five Items |  | \$14,251,565 |

## Simplification of Policy

Once the methodology is established - simplify policy for number of days of O\&M

| Policy Simplification |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Annual Expense | $\$$ | $24,000,000$ |
| Power Supply |  | $36,356,174$ |
| Total Expenses | $\$$ | $60,356,174$ |
| Minimum Cash Reserve | $\$$ | $14,251,556$ |
| Factor $(\$ 60.4 \mathrm{M} / \$ 14.3 \mathrm{M})$ |  | 4.23 |
| Days Cash on Hand $(365 / 4.23)$ | 86.0 |  |

## Minimum Reserve Policy

| Five Risk Factors to Consider | \% Risk Range to Allocate | MINIMUM Reserves |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O\&M Expenses (Less Power Costs and Depreciation) | 12.3\% | \$2,958,904 |
| Power Costs | 15.6\% | 5,675,082 |
| Historical Investment in Assets | 2.0\% 3\% | 3,311,700 |
| Annual Debt Payment | 80.4\% 100\% | 505,879 |
| Total Five-Year Capital Plan | 20.0\% | 1,800,000 |
| Total of These Five Items |  | \$ $\$ 14,251,565$ |

## Debt Coverage Ratio



- Identifies cash generated by operations above the debt service payment
- Debt coverage ratios mandated by covenants and established in bond ordinances
- Know your requirements and calculate with the yearly budget process


## General Calculation

- Cash generated by operations divided by debt service
- Typical formula:
- Net Income, plus depreciation expense plus interest expense
- Divided by Debt Service Payment
- Typical requirements are 1.25X


## Build in Safety Factor

- When setting rates a safety factor must be built into the coverage ratio for planning purposes
- Electric sales dependent on weather
- Power supply prices fluctuate
- Unexpected expense can occur
- Unexpected Transfers to city
- Potentially causes the utility to fall below coverage requirements

| Bond Covenent <br> Requirement | Saftey <br> Factor | Minimum <br> Target Level <br> for Planning <br> Purposes |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.10 | 0.20 | 1.30 |
| 1.20 | 0.20 | 1.40 |
| 1.25 | 0.20 | 1.45 |

- Safety factor of 0.2 is typically added to Bond Coverage requirement


## PILOT and Debt Coverage Ratio

| Actual <br> Ordinance | Financial <br> Planning | Item |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $\$$ | 268,986 | $\$$ | 268,986 | Net income (PILOT Included in O\&M)

Meeting stated ordinance, but not for financial planning purposes
Could you really forgo paying the City??


## Plan:

## Piecing the Targets Together for a smooth ride



## Financial Projection Base Case - No Rate Increase

| Fiscal | Projected Rate | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Adjustments | Revenues | Projected <br> Expenses | Adjusted <br> Operating <br> Income | Projected Cash <br> Balances | Capital <br> Improvements | Dend Issues |
| Coverage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

## Financial Projection Debt Coverage

| Fiscal Year | Projected Rate Adjustments |  | Projected <br> Revenues |  | Projected <br> Expenses |  | Adjusted <br> Operating <br> Income |  | rojected Cash <br> Balances |  | Capital provements | Bond Issues | Debt Coverage Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year 1 | 0.00\% | \$ | 140,298,723 | \$ | 141,333,703 | \$ | $(1,034,980)$ | \$ | 35,313,396 | \$ | 6,975,000 | - | 2.34 |
| Year 2 | 0.00\% |  | 143,900,552 |  | 146,605,317 |  | $(2,704,765)$ |  | 29,549,231 |  | 6,265,000 | - | 2.14 |
| Year 3 | 0.00\% |  | 145,430,257 |  | 150,971,486 |  | $(5,541,229)$ |  | 20,701,100 |  | 6,516,000 | - | 1.78 |
| Year 4 | 0.00\% |  | 147,395,894 |  | 155,879,882 |  | $(8,483,988)$ |  | 7,246,116 |  | 8,123,000 | - | 1.42 |
| Year 5 | 1.50\% |  | 150,139,276 |  | 160,519,276 |  | $(10,379,999)$ |  | $(5,755,455)$ |  | 7,068,000 | - | 1.43 |
| Recommended Operating Income Target - Year 1 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 10,887,198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommended Operating Income Target - Year 5 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 10,273,763 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommended Minimum Cash - Year 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 40,304,223 |  |  |  | 1.40 |
| Recommended Minimum Cash - Year 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 44,995,205 |  |  |  | 1.40 |

## Financial Projection Operating Income Adjustments



## Financial Projection Minimum Cash Reserve Target

| Fiscal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Projected Rate <br> Adjustments | Projected <br> Revenues | Projected <br> Expenses | Adjusted <br> Operating <br> Income | Projected Cash <br> Balances | Capital <br> Improvements | Bond Issues |
| Coverage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

## Financial Projection Recommended Rate Track

| Fiscal Year | Projected Rate <br> Adjustments |  | Projected <br> Revenues |  | Projected <br> Expenses |  | Adjusted Operating Income |  | rojected Cash <br> Balances |  | Capital <br> provements | Bond Issues | Debt Coverage Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year 1 | 2.80\% | \$ | 145,331,282 | \$ | 141,333,703 | \$ | 3,997,579 | \$ | 40,345,956 | \$ | 6,975,000 | - | 2.98 |
| Year 2 | 2.80\% |  | 152,669,729 |  | 146,605,317 |  | 6,064,412 |  | 43,514,526 |  | 6,265,000 | - | 3.27 |
| Year 3 | 2.80\% |  | 158,116,137 |  | 150,971,486 |  | 7,144,652 |  | 47,806,147 |  | 6,516,000 | - | 3.43 |
| Year 4 | 2.80\% |  | 164,233,081 |  | 155,879,882 |  | 8,353,199 |  | 52,069,264 |  | 8,123,000 | - | 3.62 |
| Year 5 | 2.80\% |  | 169,308,261 |  | 160,519,276 |  | 8,788,985 |  | 59,693,430 |  | 7,068,000 | - | 4.55 |
| Recommended Operating Income Target - Year 1 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 10,887,198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommended Operating Income Target - Year 5 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 10,273,763 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recommended Minimum Cash - Year 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 40,304,223 |  |  |  | 1.40 |
| Recommended Minimum Cash - Year 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 44,995,205 |  |  |  | 1.40 |

## Do You Have a Capital Plan?

- Helps ensure long-term success by maintaining and replacing its physical assets
- Working document or roadmap for critical and long-term replacement
- Capital Budget is spending for upcoming year
- Capital Plan extends five plus years
- Involves governing bodies strategic planning, Engineering and financial planning
- Prepared collectively increases "buy-in" among decision makers, employees and rate-payers
- Should be updated annually to reflect changing needs, priorities, and funding opportunities


## Capital Plan Example

## Account Category / Project Description

## TRANSMISSION PLAN

1. Overhead Conductors \& Devices
a. Transmission (re-conductor 34 throughout system) b. Engineering
2. Equipment Broadway Substation
a. Remove Station Transformer (other projects must precede this removal) "Transmission Plant" Subtota

## DISTRIBUTION PLANT

1. Poles / Towers / Fixtures (New Construction)

Overhead Conductors \& Devices
a. Downtown network upgrades
b. New System Additions - Unknown Customer Activity
3. Overhead Street Lights
a. Convert Mercury Vapor Lights and HPS to Magnetic Induction \& LED
b. Install Decorative Street Lights - 5th-9th, West Main St ., State St.
c. Strain pole signal/walk lights Broadway \& 3rd
4. Underground Conversions, Conductors \& Devices
a. 11th st underground conversions ( 15 kV )
b. Sioux trail/Old Saulk backyard underground conversion
5. Distribution Transformers


1. Trucks \& Trailers
a. Electric Utility Vehicle replace 72
b. Backhoe replacement, split w/ W
c. Electric F150
d. Backyard machine
2. Tools \& Shop Equipment
a. Misc. Tooling
"General Plant" Subtota

## How Much is Enough Capital?

- Recording with reasonable depreciation rates
- Accumulated depreciation/total historical investment in system
- Between 0.40-0.60 average range
- Over 0.60 depreciated system is aging
- Capital program will probably be increasing in the future

| Historical Investment | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: |

# Destination: Modernizing Electric Rates 

Know the direction you are going and how to design rates to meet those goals

## Historical Establishment of Rates

- Previously customers were placed into rate classes bases on similar usage patterns and customer requirements
- Customer Load factors
- When energy was used
- Metering requirements
- Service levels - Secondary/Primary/Sub-T
- Categories of Rates:

Customer usage patterns now vary substantially from class
averages

- Residential; Commercial; Industrial


## Utility Objective Improve Load Factor



## Technology Impacts on Hourly System Usages

- Improving Load Factors
- Reduce energy use during high-cost hours
- Lower the need for additional generation resources



## Example Utility Costs Compared to Rates



## Concerns About Time Differentiated Rates

- Investment needed for AMI, database management and billing system
- Customer education (Acceptance of rate?)
- Customer bill impacts?
- Will solar customers benefit or be adversely impacted?
- Do we have the technology in place for billing?
- Should we offer a PILOT program to work out any potential issues?



## Implementation of Time-Based Rates

Suggestions:

- Full implementation offering for residentials with Charging Stations
- Phase in for Residential Customers

|  | Rates |  | Current |  | hase One |  | hase Two |  | ase Three |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Monthly Facilities Charge: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Single Phase | \$ | 15.50 | \$ | 15.50 | \$ | 15.50 | \$ | 15.50 |
|  | Three Phase | \$ | 27.75 | \$ | 27.75 | \$ | 27.75 | \$ | 27.75 |
| Develop | Energy Charge: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a Long-Term | Power Supply On-Peak Energy | \$ | 0.09800 | \$ | 0.11900 | \$ | 0.14000 | \$ | 0.16100 |
| Transition Plan | Power Supply Off-Peak Energy | \$ | 0.09800 | \$ | 0.09100 | \$ | 0.08400 | \$ | 0.07700 |
|  | Revenue from Rate | \$ | 2,281,638 | \$ | 2,281,139 | \$ | 2,280,640 | \$ | 2,280,141 |
|  | Change from Previous |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
|  | Average Increase |  |  |  | 2.0\% |  | 1.9\% |  | 1.9\% |
|  | Average Decrease |  |  |  | -2.1\% |  | -2.2\% |  | -2.3\% |

## How do Residential EV's Impact Electric Sales?

- $k W h$ 's per vehicle: ( 1 mile $=0.25 \mathrm{kWh}$ )

| Annual Miles | kWh's | Number of <br> homes | Additional kWh Sales |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15,000 | 3,750 | 1,000 | $3,750,000$ |
| 10,000 | 2,500 | 1,000 | $2,500,000$ |
| 5,800 | 1,450 | 1,000 | $1,450,000$ |

Transportation is projected to account for $21 \%$ of electric
sales by 2050

- Additional $300 \mathrm{kWh} /$ month per residential home
- EV's are projected to represent between $25 \%-50 \%$ of new car purchases by 2030


## Load Factor and Average Cost

| Demand Charge | $\$$ | 15.80 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Energy Rate | $\$$ | 0.084 |
|  |  |  |
|  | Average Cost |  |
| Load Factor | per kWh |  |
| $5 \%$ | $\$$ | 0.52 |
| $10 \%$ | $\$$ | 0.30 |
| $20 \%$ | $\$$ | 0.19 |
| $40 \%$ | $\$$ | 0.14 |
| $60 \%$ | $\$$ | 0.12 |


| Infrastructure | Basis for Charge |
| :--- | :--- |
| Power Supply | Customers contribution toward Peak |
| Transmission | Customers contribution toward Peak |
| Sub-Transmission | Customers contribution toward Peak |
| Distribution | Customer Peak |

- Rates tend to be developed based on class averages
- The load factor of car charging stations often results in greater diversity and may result in charging rates above costs when based on the general service rate tariff


## Commercial Charging Station Rate Tariff

|  | General Service <br> Rate Tariff | EV Charging Rate <br> Tariff |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Rate Component | $\$$ | 40.00 | $\$$ | 40.00 |
| Customer Charge | $\$$ | 15.80 | $\$$ | 2.30 |
| Demand Charge (per kW) | $\$$ | 9.00 | - |  |
| $\quad$ Power Supply |  | 3.00 | - |  |
| Transmission |  | 1.50 | - |  |
| Sub-Transmission |  | 2.30 | 2.30 |  |
| $\quad$ Distribution |  |  |  |  |
| Energy Rate (per kWh) |  | 0.084 | $\$$ | 0.060 |
| $\quad$ Off Peak | $\$$ | 0.084 | 0.167 |  |
| On Peak |  | 0.084 | 0.234 |  |


| General <br> Load |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sarvice | EV Charging |  |  |
| Factor | Rate Tariff | Rate Tariff |  |
| $5.0 \%$ | $\$$ | 795 | $\$$ |
| $10.0 \%$ | $\$$ | 917 | $\$$ |
| $20.0 \%$ | $\$$ | 1,163 | $\$$ |
| $40.0 \%$ | $\$$ | 1,653 | $\$$ |
| $60.0 \%$ | $\$$ | 2,144 | $\$$ |

## In Summary

- Prepare - Financial Targets and Policies
- Plan - Manage Risk through Revenue Stability
- Destination - Define utility goals, even if they are "down the road"


## Questions?



